Magnetotransport in Manganites and the Role of Quantal Phases: Theory and Experiment
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While low-temperature Hall resistivity $\rho_{xy}$ of La$_{2/3}$(Ca,Pb)$_{1/3}$MnO$_3$ single crystals can be separated into ordinary (OHE) and anomalous (AHE) contributions, no such decomposition is possible near the Curie temperature $T_c$. Rather, the $\rho_{xy}$ data collapse to a single function of the reduced magnetization $m = M/M_{sat}$, with an extremum at $=0.4m$. A new mechanism for the AHE in the inelastic hopping regime is identified that reproduces the scaling curve. An extension of Holstein’s model for the hopping OHE, the mechanism arises from the combined effects of the double-exchange-induced quantal phase in triads of Mn ions and spin-orbit interactions.

PACS numbers: 75.30.Vn, 72.20.My, 71.38.+i

Along with the so-called colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) effect, doped perovskite manganites exhibit dramatic variations in the Hall resistivity $\rho_{xy}$ [1–6] near the metal-insulator transition at $T_c$. In the metallic, low temperature regime, the Hall effect can be reconciled with a positive ordinary Hall effect (OHE) and an anomalous Hall effect (AHE) of opposite sign [5]. However, near $T_c$, all signatures of the metallic OHE are lost, and the Hall effect becomes a double-valued function of applied field $H$. In the same region, the longitudinal resistivity $\rho_{xx}$ exceeds the Mott limit, and is better described by hopping conduction. The study of the OHE in the hopping regime has a long history beginning with the work of Holstein [7], who realized that the OHE in hopping conductors requires considering at least triads of sites and the attendant Aharonov-Bohm (AB) fluxes through polygons with vertices on those sites. However, how to average over all triads and conducting network structure in disordered systems remains controversial [8,9].

In this Letter, we present new Hall resistivity data on optimally doped manganite single crystals, with emphasis on the regime close to $T_c$. We demonstrate that the $\rho_{xy}$ data collapse to a single curve when plotted as a function of reduced magnetization. Moreover, we show for the first time that the quantal phase accumulated by hopping charge carriers, a result of the strong-Hund’s-rule requirement that outer-shell carriers follow the local configuration of core spins, provides a new AHE mechanism in the inelastic hopping regime. The possibility that Hund’s-rule-induced Berry phase [10] contributions can, in the presence of spin-orbit interactions (SOI), lead to AHE in the metallic, band-conductivity regime was first suggested by Kim et al. [11]. Here we consider inelastic hopping, relevant to the transition region, and the discrete analog of Berry’s phase, appropriately called the Pancharatnam phase [12,13]. By including the effects of SOI, we derive a scaling function for $\rho_{xy}$ that closely follows the collapsed experimental data.

High quality single crystals of La$_{2/3}$(Ca,Pb)$_{1/3}$MnO$_3$ were grown from 50/50 PbF$_2$/PbO flux. It was found that the addition of Ca favors optimally doped crystals; chemical analyses of crystals from the same batch gave the actual composition as La$_{0.66}$(Ca$_{0.33}$Pb$_{0.67}$)$_{0.34}$MnO$_3$. Specimens for the Hall measurements were cut along crystallographic axes from larger, preoriented crystals. Details of the measurement technique and analysis at low $T$ have been presented in [5]. $\rho_{xy}$ and $\rho_{xx}$ were measured simultaneously as functions of $H$ and $T$. The magnetization of the same sample, measured following the Hall experiment, was used to correct for demagnetization. Figure 1 shows $\rho_{xx}(T)$ at $H = 0$, 3, and 7 T. Magnetization curves are shown in the inset. The residual resistivity of this sample, $\rho_{xx}^0 = 51 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$, is comparable to the best values obtainable in these materials. The maximum of $d\rho_{xx}/dT$ occurs at 287.5 K and $H = 0$ T, moving to higher $T$ with increasing $H$. The CMR is 326% at 293 K and 7 T.

![FIG. 1. Main panel: the temperature dependence of $\rho_{xx}(H,T)$ of a La$_{2/3}$(Pb,Ca)$_{1/3}$MnO$_3$ single crystal at various $H$. Inset: $M_H(T)$ for the same crystal.](image)

FIG. 1. Main panel: the temperature dependence of $\rho_{xx}(H,T)$ of a La$_{2/3}$(Pb,Ca)$_{1/3}$MnO$_3$ single crystal at various $H$. Inset: $M_H(T)$ for the same crystal.
scaling analysis of the magnetization data very close to the metal-insulator transition gives $T_c = 285$ K, but this must be taken cautiously as the scaling exponents differ significantly from those expected from a 3D Heisenberg ferromagnet. Nevertheless, it is clear that $\rho_{xx}$ and $M$ are closely correlated in this system. In ferromagnets, the Hall resistivity is given by [14]

$$\rho_{xy} = R_H B_m + R_S \mu_0 M,$$

where $R_H$ is the OHE coefficient; $R_S$ is the AHE coefficient; $B_m = \mu_0 H_{app} + \mu_0 (1 - N) M$, with $N$ calculated from the sample shape. In Fig. 2, we show $\rho_{xy}$ vs $B_m$ at various $T$. At low $T$, $\rho_{xy}$ is positive and is linear in $B_m$, indicating that $R_S$ is small. In this metallic regime the OHE arises from the Lorentz force acting on current carriers and the AHE originates from spin-orbit effects (skew scattering and side jumps); see, e.g., [15]. With increasing $T$, the AHE becomes very large and difficult to analyze near $T_c$, leading us to seek a different mechanism. An alternative has been proposed [11] for the metallic state, arising from nontrivial spin configurations in manganites, but is not relevant to the transitional region. From Fig. 1, we see that the CMR sets in when $\rho_{xx}$ exceeds 1 m$\Omega$ cm. Using a standard Drude-type picture we find that band broadening $\hbar/\tau$ at such $\rho_{xx}$ is approximately 0.65 eV, i.e., significantly larger than the bandwidth and the Fermi energy. The resistivity, therefore, exceeds the Mott-Ioffe-Regel limit for metallic conductivity and makes bandlike transport models inappropriate. In contrast, an estimate based on a hopping conductivity model in which localized electrons move between ion sites gives a reasonable estimate of the characteristic attempt frequency of inelastic hopping $W = 3 \times 10^{13}$ s$^{-1}$.

The picture of localized states in manganites in the vicinity of the transition has been discussed by Varma [16] and Sheng et al. [17]. Two types of disorder, intrinsically present in this system, contribute to localization. Magnetic (spin) disorder is Lifshitz type [18] off-diagonal disorder. It is long lived and leads to localized states in the electronic band tail. In addition, the manganites are characterized by substantial static nonmagnetic disorder from the random substitution of La ions by dopant ions. This diagonal, Anderson-type disorder facilitates localization of carriers [17]. We therefore assume that it is reasonable to consider phonon-assisted hopping between localized states as the mechanism of electron transfer in the transitional region [19]. Then $\rho_{xx}$ can be described in terms of Miller-Abrahams resistive network [21,22], in which each resistance arises. This phase controls interference between a direct hop between two sites in the triad and indirect hops between those two sites via the third. $\Omega$ is the solid angle of the geodesic triangle on the unit sphere of spin orientations having vertices at $\{\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \mathbf{n}_3\}$, and is the quantal analog of the classical optical phase discovered in the context of polarized light by Pancharatnam [12,13,24]. In the hopping regime, the Pancharatnam phase leads to an AHE in an elementary triad with a given set of core-spin orientations in much the same way that an AB flux leads to the OHE in Holstein’s spinless model [7].

There is, however, a significant difference between the AHE caused by the Pancharatnam spin phase in triads of magnetic ions and the Holstein OHE resulting from the AB magnetic flux. In the latter case, a uniform applied magnetic field leads to a net macroscopic OHE, even though contributions of triads may partially cancel one another [8]. In the former case (magnetic sites, Pancharatnam flux), if no SOI is taken into account, the presence of macroscopic magnetization of the core spins is insufficient to cause a macroscopic AHE. The reason is that we must average over the configurations of the core spins. In the absence of SOI, the distribution of these configurations, although favoring a preferred direction (i.e., the magnetization direction $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{M}/|\mathbf{M}|$), is invariant under a reflection of all core-spin vectors in any plane containing $\mathbf{M}$ while the sign of the quantal phase reverses. This fact, coupled with the

![FIG. 2. $\rho_{xy}^I(H)$ of the same crystal at various temperatures.](image)
Invariance of electron eigenstates under such reflections, guarantees that the macroscopic AHE current will average to zero.

In order to capture the AHE in manganites, we must consider spin-orbit interactions, which lift the reflection invariance of the outer-shell carrier energies and the distribution of core-spin configurations. For a given core-spin configuration, SOI favors one sense of carrier circulation around the triad over the other, and thus favors one sign of the Pancharatnam phase. There are two resulting contributions to the AHE. The first arises from the SOI-generated dependence of eigenenergies of carriers on triads of ions on the three vector products $N_{j,k} = n_j \times n_k$ which has Dzyaloshinski-Moriya (DM) form [25]. Such dependence results from the SOI effect on the hopping matrix element $t$ between Mn ions, which is a real number and spin independent in the double-exchange model, but acquires a phase and spin dependence in the presence of SOI. For a hole on a triad [24], $t = t_0(1 + i g \sigma \cdot Q/Q)$, where $t_0$ is the matrix element in the absence of SOI, and $Q$ is the vector area of a triad. When Hund’s rule is taken into account, DM terms $N_{j,k} \cdot Q/Q$ appear in hole eigenenergies. Together with $m$, the $N_{j,k}$ yield preferred values for the triad Pontryagin charge $q_P = [n_1 \cdot (n_2 \times n_3)]$ and, hence, a preferred Pancharatnam flux $\sim M \cdot Q$. Another contribution arises via a feedback effect in which (fast) carriers provide an effective potential for the (slow) spin system, causing unequal equilibrium probabilities of spin configurations having opposite Pancharatnam fluxes. (Thus, in the first contribution one accounts for SOI in the carrier eigenenergies, which affects the carrier hopping probabilities for a given spin configuration, while in the second the SOI affect only the probability of a given spin configuration.) Thus, $q_P$ and DM terms, acting together, result in a flux of magnetization through the triad $M \cdot Q$, giving rise to the AHE in the same way as the AB flux $H \cdot Q$ results in the OHE for localized carriers in [7–9].

We assume that transport in the transition region is dominated by hopping processes, giving rise to a longitudinal conductivity $\sigma_{xx} = (n e^2 d^2/k_b T) W_0 \cos^2(\theta/2)$, where $d$ is the distance between ions. Here $W_0$ is the probability of (single) phonon-assisted direct hops and we have explicitly separated Anderson-Hasegawa factors $\cos^2(\theta/2)$. The AHE conductivity, correspondingly, is given by $\sigma_{xy} = (n e^2 d^2/k_b T) W_1$, where $W_1$ is the probability of hopping between two ions via an intermediate state on a third ion, and includes Anderson-Hasegawa factors. We now determine the ratio between direct and indirect hopping rates as a function of the spin texture. Because $W_1$ involves two-phonon processes, we write $W_1/W_0 = \alpha h \xi/k_b T$, where $\alpha$ is a numerical factor describing the multiplicity of the various carrier-phonon interference processes (see [7]), the number of intermediate sites, and the difference between nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor hopping amplitudes, with $\xi$ as an asymmetry parameter. For the OHE, $\xi \propto \sin(B \cdot Q/\phi_0)$, where $Q$ is the area vector of the triangle enclosed by the three sites. In the AHE case $\xi = 3 q_p g [Q \cdot (n_j \times n_k)]/4$ [24], where $n_j$ are unit vectors of the core spins in the triad, and $q_p$ is the volume of a parallelepiped defined by core-spin vectors. The AHE resistivity is given by

$$\rho_{xy} = -\sigma_{xx}/\sigma_{xx}^2 = -\frac{1}{ne} \left( \frac{\alpha h \xi}{ed^2} \frac{1}{\cos^2(\theta/2)} \right).$$

The evaluation of Eq. (2) reduces to a determination of $\cos(\theta/2)$ and products $(n_j \times n_k)$ and $q_p$ that survive averaging over triads. In contrast to the hopping OHE in doped semiconductors [8], where only two sites in an optimal OHE triad are connected to the conducting network (CN), all three triad sites must participate in the CN if they are to contribute to the AHE. Our argument is that if one of the sites is not a part of the CN then its core spin must be roughly opposite that of the other two spins, yielding a vanishingly small $q_p$. It is reasonable to assume that the CN is formed by ions with splayed core spins oriented roughly in the direction of average magnetization $m$. We then consider the square lattice formed by Mn ions in planes perpendicular to $m$, and assume that the core spins of the four ions in a typical elementary plaquette belonging to CN lie equally spaced on the cone whose half angle is given by $\beta = \cos^{-1}[M(H,T)/M_{sat}]$. A typical pair of ions that determines the longitudinal current and a typical triad can now be chosen from ions of this plaquette. From elementary geometry, it follows that $2 \cos^2(\theta/2) = 1 + \cos^2 \beta$, $q_p = 2 \cos \beta \sin^2 \beta$, and $m \cdot (n_j \times n_k) = \sin^2 \beta$. To find the AHE magnitude, we estimate the characteristic values of $|g| \sim g \sim Ze^2/4m_e c^2 d_0$, where $d_0$ is the radius of an Mn core $d$. An estimate based on free electron parameters is reasonable (see [24]) and gives $g \sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$. Then, the magnitude of the DM term $\sim g t_0 \sim 0.02$ meV, and is much smaller than the magnitude of the Heisenberg exchange term. However, for the AHE in localization regime DM terms are crucial. The magnitude of $\rho_{xx}$ and $\rho_{xy}$ in the regime of abrupt increase of $\rho_{xx}$ depends not only on properties of individual pairs (triads), but also on how they are connected to the CN. In the low temperature limit of our model, where the CN is still fully connected, taking $n = 5.6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-3}$, $W_0 \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-13}$ s$^{-1}$, and $\cos \beta = 0.6$ from the magnetization data at $T = 275$ K (Fig. 1), we obtain $\rho_{xx} \sim 1$ m$\Omega$ cm which coincides with the value of the experimentally observed $\rho_{xx}$ (Fig. 1). The AHE contribution to $\rho_{xy}$, assuming $\alpha = 2.5$, is then $\rho_{xy} = -0.5 \mu\Omega$ cm in agreement with the experimentally observed $\rho_{xy}$ at the same $T$ (Fig. 2). The equivalent expression for the hopping OHE has $\zeta = \cos^2(\theta/2) \cos \beta \sin(B \cdot Q/\phi_0)$ and, at $B = 1$ T, is an order of magnitude smaller than the AHE. We expect the macroscopic hopping AHE and OHE to have the same sign, opposite that of the OHE in the metallic regime.

To relate $\rho_{xy}$ to $m = |m|$, we introduce a percolation factor $P$ for $\sigma_{xx}$ describing the connectivity of the pair to the CN; for the AHE conductivity the corresponding factor would be $P^2$ because both pairs in a triad must, as
discussed above, belong to the CN. It is remarkable that throughout the localization regime, $\rho_{xy}$ is, nevertheless, determined by currents formed in individual pairs and triads, because the factors of $P$ cancel. Therefore, as long as $\rho_P$ and the angles between neighboring spins can be directly related to $m = M/M_{sat} = \cos \beta$, $\rho_{xy}$ depends on $H$ and $T$ only through $m(H, T)$, and is given by

$$\rho_{xy} = \rho_{xy}^0 m(1 - m^2)/(1 + m^2). \tag{3}$$

The corresponding curve is shown in Fig. 3, where the data of Fig. 2 are replotted as a function of $M/M_{sat}$. At and above $T_c$, the data fall on a smooth curve that reaches an extremum at $M/M_{sat} = 0.4$. Below $T_c$, the data first change rapidly with $M$ as domains are swept from the sample before saturating and following the general trend. At the lowest temperatures, the metallic OHE appears as a positive contribution at constant magnetization. The solid curve in Fig. 3 follows Eq. (3) with $\rho_{xy}^0 = -4.7 \mu\Omega$ cm, consistent with the estimates of $\rho_{xx}$ and $\rho_{xy}$ given above. Down to 285 K, which is the $T_c$ determined by the scaling analysis, Eq. (2) describes the data reasonably well. In addition, the extremum is located at $M/M_{sat} = \cos \beta = 0.35$, close to the experimental extremum. Below $T_c$, $\rho_{xx}$ is metallic and no longer dominated by magnetic disorder. However, local spin arrangements are still manifested in the AHE, e.g., via asymmetric scattering. Then the numerator of Eq. (3), $m(1 - m^2)^2$, is essentially the behavior of $\sigma_{xx}$ alone and has an extremum at $m = 1/\sqrt{5} = 0.45$ as shown by the dashed line in Fig. 3. The broader maximum in the data suggests a shift toward a hopping model for $\rho_{xx}$ and $\rho_{xy}$ as the sample is warmed through the metal-insulator transition.

In conclusion, we find that the Hall resistivity of a La$_{2/3}$(Ca,Pb)$_{1/3}$MnO$_3$ single crystal is solely determined by the sample magnetization near and somewhat above the transition temperature. A model for the AHE, based on the Holstein picture in which interference between direct inelastic hops and those via a third site is sensitive to the quantal phase, explains the results quite well. Unlike the Holstein Hall effect in the presence of Aharonov-Bohm flux, the anomalous Hall effect stems from quantal phase due to the strong-Hund’s-rule coupling that forces the hopping charge carrier to follow the local spin texture, and from spin-orbit interactions. It is the strength of the Hund’s coupling that enables effects due to quantal spin phases to persist at and above room temperature. Below $T_c$, the AHE competes with the OHE as long-range magnetic order, and presumably an infinite percolating cluster and metallic conductivity develop.
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[19] Recent data [6] indicate that polarons [20] can play some role in La$_{2/3}$(Ca,Pb)$_{1/3}$MnO$_3$ and similar compounds only significantly above $T_c$.